Interview Summary

3.4

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/214,519	HASHIZUME	HASHIZUME ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit	/>		
Etienne P LeRoux	2171	40		

	Etienne P LeRoux	2171	40		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) <u>Etienne P LeRoux</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>George Simion</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>21 October 2003</u> .					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)☐ applicant's representative	e]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-19</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed:					
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. (g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments:	nature of what was agreed to	if an agreement	was		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	copy of the amendments that v	reed would rend vould render the	er the claims claims		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
	SAFET METJA SUPERVISORY PATEN TECHNOLOGY CEN	T EXAMINER			
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	-				
Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	nature, if required	j		

Page 22 2

Application/Control Number: 09/214,519

Art Unit: 2171

Applicant pointed out that since previous Office Action vacated the finality of the previous Office Action, Amendment F which was submitted after-final should have been considered in above previous Office Action. Examiner agreed to reissue the Office Action and consider Amendment F in its entirety.